FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
OF
WEST VIRGINIA

DARL W. POLING, JUDGE
CIRCUIT COURT OF RALEIGH COUNTY

222 MAIN STREET
BECKLEY, WEST VIRGINIA 25801
TELEPHONE: (304) 255-0400
FAX: (304) 255-9358

September 30, 2026

Honorable C. Haley Bunn
Chief Justice
Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia
1900 Kanawha Blvd., East
Building One
Charleston, WV 25305
Sent via Email (SCA-RecusalAdministator@courtswv.gov)

Re: Plaintiff's Verified Renewed Motion to Disqualify
Raleigh County Civil Action No. 25-C-328

Madame Chief Justice:

Attached please find my response to the Verified Renewed Motion To Disqualify filed by Christopher Adam Jackson, pro se, on September 25, 2026, in pending Raleigh County Civil Action 25-C-328 but which may also impact Cases Nos. 25-C-324 and 26-C-310 even though Mr. Jackson's prior attempts in those cases have been rejected. As required by Rule 17 of the West Virginia Trial Court Rules, I am filing this response and I am serving it on Mr. Jackson by U.S. mail and upon the Defendant by WV E-File. I am also attaching a copy of Mr. Jackson's motion and memorandum of law for your convenience.

Should you need any additional information or have any questions, please contact my office. I will await the Court's directive before proceeding in any manner in either of the cases pending before me.

Sincerely,

Darl W. Poling

Darl W. Poling
Judge 14th Judicial Circuit
Raleigh County Circuit Court

Attachments
Cc: carol.white@courtswv.gov
Shannon.Green@courtswv.gov

Based upon my review of this new motion and the supplements which Mr. Jackson has filed, I believe that this motion is just an extension of and repetition of his prior arguments. As is becoming a pattern, Mr. Jackson attempts to use the undersigned's rulings, the pleadings of defense counsel and issues unrelated to the narrow issue of disqualification to form the basis of his repeated motions to disqualify the undersigned.

In his recent motion and supplements, Mr. Jackson stated "He insists upon compliance with the mandatory procedure governing every litigant and every challenged judicial officer alike." Plaintiff's Second Supplement filed 9/28/26, page 1. This appears to be largely directed at the undersigned's failure to have e-filed my response to the first motion to disqualify, which I have acknowledged and corrected as soon as my office became aware of the oversight. The Court notes the irony of this assertion by Mr. Jackson, based upon his repeated failure to follow the proper procedural rules with almost every filing he has made. While Mr. Jackson continues to ignore the undersigned's attempts to have him comply with filing requirements, limitations and procedures, he has not as of this date had any consequences for his failure to comply with "mandatory procedures."

Instead of addressing every item contained in the sixty plus (60+) pages of the renewed motion and supplements, I rely upon my responses to his prior motions. I believe that his allegations and assertions, although a different version of the same arguments he has previously asserted in his attempts to have me disqualified, still do not assert a valid basis to justify my disqualification in this matter (or any of his other cases assigned to me) and I stand by my previous responses.

In closing, I do not believe that there is any legal basis, ethical basis or reason for me to be disqualified in this case and I believe that I can handle all matters appropriately, fairly and without