CC-41-2025-C-328 — REVISED PROCEDURAL CHRONOLOGY MATRIX

Reconciled through the C-328 docket printed September 8, 2026.

Method / record discipline

This revision reconciles the prior chronology matrix against: (1) the 18-page C-328 docket print; (2) the supplied August 20, 2026 Supreme Court Administrative Order and the August 24, 2026 reconsideration, amendment/supplementation, and Emergency Omnibus orders; (3) the August 25, 2026 page-limitation order; and (4) the large supplemental Poling record. It distinguishes **docket fact**, **order text**, and **source-derived evidentiary assertions**. Disputed allegations are described as allegations; the matrix does not convert them into adjudicated facts.

Routine mailing/transmittal entries, attorney-listing entries, and party-add/service metadata are generally not repeated as separate rows unless they matter to filing/service chronology. Substantive filings, responses, orders, hearing events, record-supplementation events, Rule 17 events, and access-to-filing events are included.

Integrated chronology

08/20/2025 | #1 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Civil complaint filed with civil case information statement, exhibits/supporting documents, affidavit of indigency/pauper affidavit, and summons.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Baseline event. C-328 began as a merits action against Alan D. Abrams. Later access, indigency, amendment, evidentiary, disqualification, and filing-control disputes should be measured against this starting point rather than treated as the original object of the case.

08/25/2025 | Related Poling proceeding — supplemental record

FILING / EVENT Administrative order in C-318/C-324/C-325 set aside transfer/consolidation and stated that the proceedings did not involve the same parties or common questions of law and fact.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Structural precursor, not a C-328 docket entry. The supplemental record emphasizes that the captions nevertheless reflected recurring Abrams parties and overlapping relational/property facts. The significance is not that consolidation was mandatory, but that procedural separation later became part of the dispute over whether recurring evidence and actors were factually related.

09/08/2025 | #7 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, with Exhibits A–F.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE First dispositive challenge to the complaint; set the initial merits framework to which Plaintiff’s opposition, amendment efforts, and later reconstruction were directed.

09/11/2025 | Extra-docket source — supplemental record; exact exhibit to lock

FILING / EVENT Direct chambers contact concerning scheduling is identified in the supplemental record as documented by a native recording.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Potentially important to the later Rule 17 representation that Plaintiff had “never contacted this Court to request a hearing on any of his motions.” The exact transcript/recording should be attached before using a quotation or precise characterization in a filed matrix.

09/16/2025 | #10 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Early merits response. Confirms that Plaintiff was actively litigating the abuse-of-process and related claims rather than allowing the case to remain solely at the pleading-challenge stage.

09/16/2025 | #11 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint and to Join Additional Defendants and Co-Plaintiff.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Early attempt to place additional actors and allegedly connected events within one pleading. IMPORTANT TIMING CORRECTION: the supplied docket does not show this motion disposed on April 10, 2026; it is specifically marked disposed by separate order on August 24, 2026 (#138), after approximately 342 calendar days.

09/16/2025 | #12–13 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Notice of hearing on Motion to Dismiss; case set for hearing on November 5, 2025 at 11:00 a.m.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Creates an objective hearing-access marker. The later November 4 continuance and chambers call should be read against the fact that a merits hearing had actually been calendared.

09/17/2025 | #14 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff’s Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Expanded the legal basis for merits adjudication and became part of the growing body of briefing later subjected to citation/reconstruction requirements.

09/18/2025 | #17 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Emergency Motion to Enforce Pauper Status.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Marks the beginning of a substantial collateral access-to-court/financial-status track. The motion remained pending until the April 10, 2026 denial (#85), approximately 204 calendar days later.

09/18/2025 | #18 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant's reply to Plaintiff's response to Motion to Dismiss.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Joined the dismissal issues while amendment and indigency questions were simultaneously developing.

09/22/2025 | #19 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff’s Surreply and Further Opposition to Motion to Dismiss.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Continued merits briefing before the case increasingly shifted toward collateral financial and procedural disputes.

09/23/2025 | #20 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant’s response concerning in forma pauperis status, subpoenas, cost waiver, and cost shifting.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Expanded the fee-waiver dispute into questions affecting compulsory process and litigation costs, linking indigency to Plaintiff's asserted ability to develop evidence.

10/03/2025 | #21 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant's Response to Motion to Amend.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Formally joined the amendment dispute that would not receive its comprehensive disposition until August 24, 2026.

10/06/2025 | #22 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff reasserted Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint, with proposed amended complaint and Exhibits A–W.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Major attempt to cure the earlier amendment issue and place a fuller integrated factual record before the Court. The August 24, 2026 amendment order expressly identifies this October 6 filing and denies it; the interval is approximately 322 calendar days.

10/06/2025 | #23 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff's reply supporting pauper status, subpoenas, cost waiver, and limited cost shifting.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Shows that indigency had already become connected to access to witnesses and evidence, not merely the initial filing fee.

10/06/2025 | #24 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant's Motion for Rule to Show Cause directed to Plaintiff's indigency affidavit, with exhibits.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE A major procedural pivot. The supplemental record identifies this as the beginning of an adversarial financial inquiry that later expanded into credibility, business-asset, Social Security, and private-record issues.

10/07/2025 | #25 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff's Motion for Authorization to Use the West Virginia E-File System.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Direct access-to-filing request. Later denial is relevant because subsequent proceedings imposed large reconstruction and filing burdens while Plaintiff remained pro se.

10/07/2025 | #26 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff's reply memorandum in support of leave to file First Amended Complaint.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Further developed the amendment/joinder record that would later be compared against the August 24, 2026 description of the proposed pleading.

10/08/2025 | #27 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Notice of hearing on Defendant's Motion for Rule to Show Cause concerning indigency.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Shows that a hearing mechanism was contemplated for the financial-status controversy, even as later scheduling and cancellation/reset issues became disputed.

10/09/2025 | #28 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Rule to Show Cause; Rule 15(d) notice of supplemental pleading; and affidavit concerning ongoing emotional distress/need for court protection were docketed together under Entry #28.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE CORRECTION TO PRIOR MATRIX: these materials are grouped under #28, not separate #29 entries. They show the financial dispute, supplementation effort, and request for protective attention converging in the same period.

10/09/2025 | #29 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Pleading (Alternative NIED Count XII), with exhibits.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Separate supplemental-pleading request. IMPORTANT TIMING CORRECTION: it is specifically marked disposed by the August 24, 2026 order (#137), approximately 319 calendar days later.

10/10/2025 | #30 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Motion to Disqualify Defense Counsel and for Protective Relief, with proposed order.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE First formal request directed to alleged conflicts, acquisition/use of disputed material, advocate-witness concerns, and protective relief. The April 9, 2026 order (#84) ultimately denied the motion after approximately 181 days.

10/14/2025 | #31 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Affidavit concerning emotional distress/need for court protection.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE CORRECTION TO PRIOR MATRIX: the docket separately reflects this affidavit on October 14 as #31; the prior matrix incorrectly placed an affidavit under October 9/#29.

10/14/2025 | #32–34 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant responses to the supplemental-pleading motion, motion to disqualify counsel, and motion for e-file access.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Shows that the amendment, protective-relief, and access-to-filing disputes were fully adversarial by mid-October rather than isolated or unopposed requests.

10/15/2025 | #35 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant's reply concerning the Rule to Show Cause/indigency dispute.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Continued the adversarial financial-status litigation and preceded the Court's later independent order requiring an updated financial statement.

10/15/2025 | #36 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Order denying Plaintiff authorization to use the WV E-File System.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Denied the requested electronic-filing mechanism. Structurally relevant when later orders required extensive refiling/reconstruction and, in August 2026, imposed additional pre-acceptance procedures for oversized filings.

10/23/2025 | #38 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff consolidated filing combining opposition to Rule to Show Cause, motion to exclude/protective relief, renewed disqualification request, sanctions/preclusion issues, comprehensive timeline/factual proffer, and Exhibits 1–28.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE First major integrated attempt to present the financial, evidentiary, protective, and misconduct allegations in one factual framework. It is central to the later dispute over fragmentation and the Court's treatment of multi-issue filings.

10/24/2025 | #39 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Complaint regarding a new publication/defamation allegation, targeted discovery, scheduling adjustment, and related notice.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Presented alleged post-filing conduct as a continuing development rather than a closed historical controversy. The exact relationship between this motion and the April 10, 2026 #86 order should be confirmed from that order before stating a definitive disposition linkage.

10/27/2025 | #40–41 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant responses to Plaintiff's consolidated filing and reasserted First Amended Complaint.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Placed the integrated factual theory and amendment request in direct adversarial posture immediately before the Emergency Omnibus Motion and subsequent reconstruction order.

10/28/2025 | #42 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Emergency Omnibus Motion seeking, among other relief, renewed disqualification-related relief, protective/sequestration orders, preclusion/proportionate sanctions, cancellation of Rule to Show Cause proceeding, and judicial notice, with consolidated memorandum.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Major integrity/provenance filing. The supplemental record states that it identified a 2019 SSA benefits letter, requested alternative protective remedies and sworn sourcing, and framed provenance and advocate-witness issues. It remained unresolved until the August 24, 2026 denial (#139), approximately 300 calendar days later.

10/28/2025 | #43 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Motion to Stay Proceedings pending related federal action or alternatively convert/continue the November 5 hearing.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Attempted to manage overlap among related proceedings and avoid inconsistent or fragmented development. Denied November 10 (#59).

10/28/2025 | #44 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Notice of subsequent developments concerning appearances of counsel.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Preserved newly developing facts relevant to the counsel/disqualification and integrity issues.

10/28/2025 | #45–48 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant responses to the supplemental complaint, stay request, Emergency Omnibus Motion, and notice of subsequent developments.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE By the end of October, the case simultaneously contained merits, amendment, financial-status, counsel/protective, stay, and integrity disputes, all with active adversarial briefing.

10/29/2025 | #49 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff reply supporting the First Amended Complaint and reply to Defendant's response to the consolidated filing.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Continued Plaintiff's effort to connect proposed parties and events to a common factual nucleus and preserve an integrated presentation.

10/31/2025 | #50 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff consolidated reply to Defendant's responses and notice of subsequent judicial finding.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE CORRECTION TO PRIOR MATRIX: #50 belongs to October 31. It begins the sequence in which related-case judicial findings were brought back into C-328.

11/03/2025 | #51 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff notice of subsequent judicial finding and supplemental memorandum supporting the Emergency Omnibus Motion.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Brought a related judicial development into the pending integrity/protective-relief record and immediately preceded the November 4 continuance/reconstruction orders.

11/03/2025 | #52 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Supplemental memorandum and motion concerning effect of declaratory judgment, judicial estoppel, vacatur of DVPO, and sanctions/fees for alleged bad-faith litigation.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE CORRECTION TO PRIOR MATRIX: this is the November 3 judicial-estoppel/vacatur motion, not #50. The docket later marks it disposed by separate order on September 4, 2026 (#155), approximately 305 calendar days later; the separate disposition order is needed to state the ruling's substance accurately.

11/04/2025 | #53 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Order continuing the November 5 hearing.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Key scheduling event. It removed the already-calendared merits hearing while multiple amendment, financial, protective, and integrity motions remained pending.

11/04/2025 | Extra-docket source — Frost recording/transcript identified in supplemental record

FILING / EVENT Christopher Frost from Judge Poling's office reportedly advised Plaintiff that chambers had just been advised of Judge Kirby's rulings; the November 5 hearing was continued so Judge Poling could review Judge Kirby's order and Plaintiff's recent filing; Frost also stated that the judge had reviewed the case file and that the hearing would be reset after review.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Important hearing-access and record-awareness evidence. It ties the continuance to review of related-case developments and bears directly on later statements about hearing requests. The native recording/verified transcript should be included as the supporting exhibit for court use.

11/04/2025 | #54 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Order Requiring Updated Financial Statement.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Court independently required refreshed financial disclosure. The supplemental record notes that this order did not itself find fraud, false swearing, concealed income, or revoke pauper status; it required an updated affidavit.

11/04/2025 | #57 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Order Requiring Plaintiff to File Amended Pleadings / reconstruct prior filings with complete and proper citations.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE One of the chronology's central corrective events. The Court required substantial refiling/reconstruction; later materials state Plaintiff complied and expressly labeled reconstructed papers as filed in compliance with this order. The later question becomes whether those corrected materials were the record actually adjudicated.

11/04/2025 | #58 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff supplemental opposition to Defendant's Motion for Rule to Show Cause.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE CORRECTION TO PRIOR MATRIX: Entry #58 is dated November 4, not November 10. It continues the indigency dispute on the same day the Court ordered updated financial disclosure and reconstruction.

11/08/2025 | Supplemental record — reconstructed pleading; docket linkage to verify

FILING / EVENT Reconstructed proposed First Amended Complaint is identified as amended November 8, 2025 and expressly filed "in compliance with the Court's Order Requiring Amended Pleadings," with complete citations and a "General Factual Allegations" section.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Central record-integrity comparator for the August 24, 2026 amendment order. The exact C-328 docket sub-entry/file stamp for this reconstructed pleading should be supplied so the matrix can cite the operative record directly.

11/10/2025 | #59 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion to Stay Proceedings.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Kept C-328 proceeding independently despite Plaintiff's asserted overlap with related state/federal matters.

11/12/2025 | #61 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Emergency Motion to Sanction Fraud Upon the Court / immediate relief based on recorded evidence of an alleged conspiracy.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Escalated the dispute from underlying tort claims to alleged corruption of the adjudicative process and requested formal judicial action on integrity allegations.

11/12/2025 | #62 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Emergency Motion to File Evidence Under Seal / for in camera review.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Shows an effort to submit sensitive evidence through a protected judicial mechanism rather than only through public accusation; later handling of the sealed submission became an independent record-content dispute.

11/12/2025 | #63 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Amended/reconstructed Plaintiff opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and continuation filings.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Objective evidence of compliance with the reconstruction directive. The later procedural question is not whether Plaintiff was ordered to cure, but what effect the cure received in subsequent adjudication.

11/12/2025 | #64 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant response to Plaintiff's two emergency motions.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Joined the fraud/sealed-evidence issues and confirms that the integrity allegations were contested rather than uncontested factual assertions.

11/12/2025 | #65 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Pauper status approved again by the Clerk.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Important financial-status marker. It followed the Court-directed updated financial process and undercuts any suggestion that the November 4 order itself had adjudicated Plaintiff non-indigent.

11/13/2025 | #67 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant response to Plaintiff's updated financial statement/affidavit, with exhibits.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Continued adversarial financial litigation even after renewed pauper approval. The supplemental record identifies requests for broad personal/LLC tax and banking information and disputes over how entity assets, historical benefits, and claimed losses were characterized.

11/13/2025 | #68 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff Motion for Declaratory Judgment regarding insurance validity.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Attempted to bring insurance-related representations and financial consequences into the same factual chronology.

11/13/2025 | #69 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff Motion to Confirm and Enforce Arbitration Award.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Sought judicial recognition of a Nissan-related component of the broader chronology; denied the next day.

11/14/2025 | #70 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Order denying arbitration-related relief.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Disposed of that proposed connection quickly, in contrast to other motions that remained pending for months.

11/18/2025 | #72 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff reply concerning the emergency under-seal and fraud-upon-the-court motions, with proposed order/notice.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Continued efforts to obtain substantive review of sealed/integrity evidence after the initial emergency submissions.

11/18/2025 | #73 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Comprehensive Notice of alleged coordinated scheme to defraud the judiciary and obstruct justice.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Expanded the claimed integrity problem across proceedings. This remains an allegation, but the filing is procedurally significant because it explicitly asked the Court to treat the issues as systemic rather than isolated.

11/20/2025 | #74 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant response to Plaintiff's Comprehensive Notice.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Continued adversarial treatment of the alleged cross-proceeding integrity issues. The supplemental record identifies this filing as also seeking to "accelerate" financial discovery; exact page support should be attached if that quotation is used.

11/20/2025 | #75 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff reply/objection to Defendant's financial response; motion to strike; judicial notice; protective order; ESI preservation; and express request for evidentiary hearing.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE A particularly important fact-development marker. Plaintiff expressly invoked preservation, protection, and an evidentiary hearing while the financial/provenance and integrity disputes remained unresolved.

11/24/2025 | #76 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Notice of judicial findings and supporting memorandum.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Further preserved related-case findings Plaintiff contended bore on credibility, estoppel, and the relationship among proceedings.

11/24/2025 | #77 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff reply/demand to compel; motion to strike personal attacks; judicial notice; protective order; ESI preservation; and request for evidentiary hearing, with exhibits.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Second clear November request for evidentiary development and preservation. Relevant to later judicial statements concerning whether hearings had been requested and to the August 24, 2026 omnibus order's reliance on the absence of a properly presented evidentiary hearing for certain relief.

12/02/2025 | #78 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Order denying Plaintiff's insurance-validity/declaratory-judgment motion.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Disposed of the insurance-validity track while the broader amendment, protective, and integrity issues remained unresolved.

12/02/2025 | #79 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant response to Plaintiff's amended opposition to Motion to Dismiss.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Shows continued merits briefing alongside collateral financial/integrity litigation.

12/03/2025 | #80 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Order denying Plaintiff's fraud-upon-the-court/conspiracy motion.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Central integrity disposition. CORRECTION TO PRIOR MATRIX: the Court's alleged mischaracterization of the Atterson submission is an asserted defect in the content of this order, not a separate docket Entry #81. Entries #81–82 are mailing/transmittal events.

12/09/2025 | #83 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Additional Plaintiff opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Last substantive docket entry before a 121-day docket gap ending April 9, 2026, notwithstanding the previously continued hearing and unresolved motion practice.

On or about 12/12/2025 | Extra-docket source — date needs verification

FILING / EVENT Supplemental record states Plaintiff went directly to Judge Poling's office seeking to schedule hearings; Christopher Frost reportedly explained that the docket was full, that a hearing could not be scheduled until the beginning of January, and that chambers would call Plaintiff.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Potentially significant direct evidence on hearing access. The source presently says "on or about December 12," while other material supplied in prior work may identify December 15. The exact date and supporting declaration/record should be locked before filing.

12/09/2025–04/09/2026 | C-328 docket interval

FILING / EVENT No substantive docket entry appears between #83 (December 9) and #84 (April 9).

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Objective 121-day docket gap after the November hearing had been continued and after extensive motion practice/reconstruction. The matrix should describe this as a docket interval, not infer the reason for the absence of entries.

04/09/2026 | #84 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Order denying Plaintiff's Motion to Disqualify Defense Counsel and for Protective Relief.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Disposed of the October 10, 2025 motion after approximately 181 days. The supplemental record raises a separate record-content question: it reports that the April 9 order characterized the motion as lacking supporting documentation/legal basis despite the later court-mandated reconstructed materials. The April 9 order and reconstructed motion should be placed side-by-side for any filed assertion.

04/10/2026 | #85 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Order denying Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Enforce Pauper Status filed September 18, 2025.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Disposed of #17 after approximately 204 calendar days. This is one of the clearest long-pendency examples in the supplied docket.

04/10/2026 | #86 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Order denying Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Complaint.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE CRITICAL CORRECTION: the prior matrix incorrectly identified this as the disposition of the September 16 #11 amendment motion. The supplied docket later expressly marks #11 disposed on August 24 (#138), so #86 should not be used to calculate a September-16-to-April-10 amendment delay. The underlying #86 order is needed to identify precisely which supplemental request it adjudicated.

04/10/2026 | Supplemental record — filing-structure order; exact docket/order to supply

FILING / EVENT Supplemental Poling record describes materially similar April 10 orders in C-324 and C-328 prohibiting combined filings bearing multiple unconsolidated case numbers and directing the Clerk not to accept such pleadings absent formal consolidation.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE This is a central fragmentation/access point, but the exact C-328 order is not separately identifiable from the supplied docket titles. The order copy should be provided before assigning a docket number or quoting its operative language in the final court-filed matrix.

04/14/2026 | #90 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Verified Motion for Disqualification of Judge Poling/all judges of the Fourteenth Judicial Circuit, request for out-of-circuit assignment, and stay.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Opened the first Rule 17 corrective process and shifted the dispute from individual rulings to the appearance and administration of the adjudicative process itself.

04/16/2026 | #91 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Memorandum supporting disqualification and out-of-circuit assignment.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Expanded the Rule 17 record with legal authorities and the cumulative structural theory later summarized and disputed in Judge Poling's response.

04/21/2026 | Rule 17 source — supplemental record; contemporaneous docket/service disputed

FILING / EVENT Supplemental record identifies Judge Poling's first Rule 17 response/transmittal as dated April 21, 2026.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Important record-integrity point: the supplemental record states that contemporaneous filing/service of that response is not reflected in the identified C-328/C-324 docket trail and that the response became available approximately three months later. The actual April 21 response/transmittal and service record should be included as exhibits.

04/29/2026 | #92 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Notice of Service related to the disqualification process.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Documents continued procedural activity while the Rule 17 determination was pending.

05/08/2026 | #93 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Verified Motion for Rule to Show Cause for evidentiary hearings, sanctions, preservation of evidence, and related relief concerning alleged fraud upon the court, structural due-process violations, suppression of exculpatory evidence, and failure of adversarial safeguards.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE One of the strongest express requests for factual development. The docket later marks it disposed by separate order on August 24, 2026 (#134), after approximately 108 days; that separate order is not among the supplied full orders and is needed to state the disposition basis.

05/11/2026 | #94 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Supreme Court/Chief Justice disqualification order entered on the C-328 docket; Judge Poling continued to preside.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Concluded the first Rule 17 request. The supplemental record identifies the first response/service trail itself as a later record-completeness controversy, making the corrective process part of the chronology.

05/18/2026 | #96 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Verified Motion for Reconsideration of circuit-wide disqualification and extraordinary supervisory relief.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Continued the challenge to the Rule 17 determination based on asserted cumulative/new circumstances. Later denied as moot and denied by the August 24 #135 order after intervening Chief Justice rulings.

05/20/2026 | #97 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Original disqualification motion (#90) marked disposed by separate order.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Administrative closure marker for the first Rule 17 motion in the local docket.

06/05/2026 | #98 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Newly discovered evidence filed in support of reconsideration of disqualification.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Asserted materially changed facts in the disqualification record and set up the renewed Rule 17 request later filed August 10.

06/16/2026 | #99 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant’s Motion for Prefiling Injunction, with Exhibits A–R.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Major change in procedural stakes: the litigation now included a request for prospective restriction of Plaintiff’s future filing access, not merely disposition of pending merits claims.

06/23/2026 | #102 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Motion for Leave to Submit Confidential Transcript-Related Evidence Under Seal and for clarification before review of material that Plaintiff asserted could expose him to sanctions/liberty consequences.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE CORRECTION TO PRIOR MATRIX: #102 is the motion for leave concerning confidential transcript-related evidence; it is not the public notice of the tendered sealed submission.

06/23/2026 | #103 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Verified Response in Opposition to Defendant’s Motion for Prefiling Injunction, with memorandum/evidentiary proffer and exhibits.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Placed the requested future-filing restriction in a fully adversarial constitutional/procedural posture and preserved Plaintiff’s evidence against the injunction.

06/23/2026 | #104 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Public Notice of conditionally tendered sealed evidentiary submission, with attachments.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Separate record-preservation marker for the sealed submission. This is the filing the prior matrix had effectively merged into #102.

06/24/2026 | #105–106 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff's First Motion to Supplement the Record, submitted in multiple parts (1–15).

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Large record-completion effort. On September 3, 2026, the docket marks both parts of the first supplementation request moot (#151–152).

06/25/2026 | #107 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff's Second Motion to Supplement the Record, with exhibits.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Continued effort to expand/preserve the record. Denied September 4 by #154, which also "clarif[ied] the Court's prior Order on supplementation." Full #154 is needed to state the clarification's substance.

06/29/2026 | #109 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant response to the sealed-evidence and supplementation filings and motion for release of the sealed evidentiary submission to Defendant, with Exhibit A.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Shows that access to and handling of sealed evidence had itself become an adversarial procedural controversy.

07/06/2026 | #110 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff Motion for Leave to File Oversized Reply, with attachments/exhibits.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Early page-limit conflict. Denied two days later and followed the next month by a substantially more detailed future-filing procedure.

07/08/2026 | #111 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Order denying Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Oversized Reply / exceed page length.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE First express order restricting an oversized presentation in the supplied C-328 docket. It preceded Defendant's August request for a prefiling injunction and the August 25 case-specific pre-acceptance procedure.

07/08/2026 | #112 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Mailing/transmittal of the #111 order.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE CRITICAL CORRECTION TO PRIOR MATRIX: C-328 docket Entry #112 is not a July 10 merits order concerning declaratory judgment/judicial estoppel. Any July 10 order relied upon in the prior matrix must be identified by its actual case/docket source before it is cited as a C-328 entry.

07/09/2026 | #113 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant reply to Plaintiff's verified opposition to the prefiling injunction, with Exhibit A.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Completed a major round of briefing on prospective filing restrictions.

07/13/2026 | #114 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff Notice of Continuing Preservation of Constitutional, Jurisdictional, and Due Process Objections; continued participation to preserve record; ancillary response to order denying oversized filing.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE CORRECTION TO PRIOR MATRIX: this notice is #114, not #113. It expressly preserved objections for review while Plaintiff continued participating in the case.

07/13/2026 | #115 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Motion/Petition for Writ of Mandamus, with certificate of service.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Invoked an extraordinary corrective mechanism concerning the local process. The C-328 docket later marks it moot on August 25 (#145).

07/14/2026 | #116 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Response of Hon. Darl W. Poling to Christopher Jackson’s Motion to Disqualify, with memorandum of law.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Judge Poling’s own characterization of the record became part of the Rule 17 evidentiary record. The supplemental record identifies objectively testable statements concerning hearing requests, citations, evidence, and relatedness that Plaintiff later sought to compare against the underlying docket/filings.

07/17/2026 | #117 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff memorandum asserting that the Court’s July 10, 2026 order rested on erroneous legal premises.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Preserved alleged legal error for review. Because the supplied C-328 docket does not identify a July 10 order as #112, the underlying July 10 order/case number must be supplied before the matrix can accurately connect this memorandum to a specific C-328 order.

07/17/2026 | #118 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff Consolidated Opposition to Defendant’s Motion for Prefiling Injunction and supplemental filing; renewed request for Rule to Show Cause; two additional show-cause requests; notice of alleged material misrepresentations; request for stay pending mandamus.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Pivotal filing-access event. Plaintiff simultaneously opposed a prospective filing restriction and renewed requests for factual/judicial review of alleged misconduct.

07/20/2026 | #119 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant response to Plaintiff’s consolidated opposition/supplemental filing, renewed Rule to Show Cause requests, notice of alleged material misrepresentations, and stay request.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Continued the adversarial prefiling/show-cause dispute immediately before Plaintiff’s formal disability-access notice.

07/24/2026 | #120 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Formal Additional Notice of Plaintiff’s Documented Disabilities; invocation of Title II of the ADA and, to the extent applicable, § 504; request for individualized reasonable modifications; notice against retaliation, coercion, or interference.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Formalized disability-access issues within the C-328 record and specifically linked Plaintiff's functional limitations to the manner in which he was required to litigate and file.

07/24/2026 | #121 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Motion for Leave / reply supporting renewed Rule to Show Cause; request for limited surreply on new matters; request for court-initiated Rule 11(c)(3) show-cause order; request for immediate evidentiary hearing.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Another explicit evidentiary-hearing request, now made after the prefiling dispute and disability-access notice had become part of the record.

07/24/2026 | #122 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Motion to clarify effect of prior without-prejudice withdrawal; preliminary leave to tender proposed amended complaint within fourteen days; procedural relief under Rules 54(b) and 60(b).

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Continued effort to obtain a procedural route for amendment despite earlier unresolved/contested amendment history.

07/29/2026 | #123 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Defendant response to Plaintiff's July 24 clarification/amendment-related motion, with Exhibit A.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Joined the renewed amendment-procedure dispute shortly before Plaintiff sought judicial notice of Hopkins v. Sutphin.

07/31/2026 | #124 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff expedited motion asking the Court to take judicial notice of the Supreme Court of Appeals' May 29, 2026 opinion in Hopkins v. Sutphin.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Placed an official appellate decision concerning overlapping actors/piecemeal litigation before the Court. On September 3, #149 granted judicial notice in part but denied Plaintiff's requested specific application.

07/31/2026 | #125 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff motion to withdraw in part, correct, clarify, and supersede certain language in the July 24 Title II notice, with Exhibit A.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Shows an attempt to correct the disability-access record rather than leave disputed wording unmodified. Denied September 2 by #147.

08/05/2026 | #126 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Motion for Leave to File Oversized Consolidated Reply and Incorporated Memorandum Showing Good Cause, with multiple attachment/exhibit parts.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE This filing triggered the Court-staff/Clerk communication later recited in the August 25 page-limit order. The Court temporarily permitted the current filing in part while creating a new prospective procedure for future oversized filings.

08/05/2026 | #127 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Verified Declaration concerning authenticity, custody, preservation, and completeness of Plaintiff's exhibits.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Record-authentication/preservation step supporting the large evidentiary submission and later dispute over what materials were before the Court.

08/10/2026 | #128–129 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Renewed Motion to Disqualify Hon. Darl W. Poling based on newly discovered evidence and materially changed circumstances, requesting immediate Rule 17.01(b) compliance, with multiple exhibits.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Opened the second Rule 17 process and sought renewed review of the appearance/record issues after further procedural developments.

08/10/2026 | #130 — C-328 docket + supplemental record

FILING / EVENT Defendant response to Plaintiff's motion for leave to file the oversized consolidated reply, with Exhibit A.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE The supplemental record identifies this as a critical bridge: Defendant described the proposed filing as 301 pages versus an 11-page response, called the request "absurd on its face," sought denial/dismissal/prefiling relief, and attached the earlier October 6, 2025 proposed First Amended Complaint rather than the later court-mandated reconstructed version. That creates an objective record question about which pleading version was treated as operative.

08/14/2026 | #131 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Judge Poling's response to Plaintiff's renewed Motion to Disqualify.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Unlike the disputed April response trail, the renewed Rule 17 response generated a contemporaneous local docket entry and became part of the record reviewed by the Chief Justice.

08/20/2026 | Supreme Court Administrative Order — filed locally 08/24 as #132

FILING / EVENT Chief Justice C. Haley Bunn reviewed the renewed disqualification motion and Judge Poling's response under Trial Court Rule 17.01(c), determined disqualification was not warranted, denied the motion, and directed Judge Poling to continue presiding over C-328 and C-324.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Concluded the second Rule 17 request. The order expressly states that it addressed only the request for disqualification, leaving the underlying merits/procedural disputes for further proceedings.

08/24/2026 | #132–133 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT August 20 Supreme Court Administrative Order docketed in C-328 and mailed to Plaintiff.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Creates a clear contemporaneous filing/service trail for the second Rule 17 determination, which the supplemental record contrasts with the first response/transmittal history.

08/24/2026 | #134 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff's May 8, 2026 verified Rule-to-Show-Cause/evidentiary-hearing/sanctions/preservation motion marked "Disposed By Separate Order."

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Important unresolved document gap. The docket proves disposition after approximately 108 days, but the separate order is not among the supplied full orders; no substantive characterization should be added until that order is provided.

08/24/2026 | #135 — full order supplied

FILING / EVENT Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration of Disqualification Motion.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE The order states that the matter had previously been ruled upon; that Plaintiff had filed at least two motions asking the Supreme Court of Appeals to rule on disqualification; that all requests had been denied by the Chief Justice; and therefore the reconsideration request was "MOOT and therefore DENIED."

08/24/2026 | #136–138 — full six-page amendment order supplied

FILING / EVENT Order Denying Plaintiff's Multiple Requests for Permission to File Amended Complaint or Supplemental Complaint; specifically denies the September 16 #11 motion, October 6 reasserted amendment request, October 9 #29 supplemental pleading, and any other then-pending amendment/supplement requests described by the order.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE One of the most significant new chronology entries. The order says neither party requested a hearing on these amendment motions and deems them fully submitted; it rejects Amplius joinder, treats the separate Nissan reservation as outside the original case, states there were "no factual allegations" in the proposed amended complaint pertaining to current/proposed defendants for remaining additions, and denies the motions. The supplemental record places this wording beside the reconstructed pleading, which contains a "General Factual Allegations" section and express statements that Amplius would appear through counsel and that product claims were reserved rather than pleaded. The resulting issue is an objectively testable record-content question, not a necessary inference of bad faith.

08/24/2026 | #139 — full three-page Emergency Omnibus order supplied

FILING / EVENT Order Denying Plaintiff's Emergency Omnibus Motion filed October 28, 2025.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE The order: (1) denies leave/reconsideration concerning defense-counsel disqualification; (2) denies protective/sequestration relief because it had not been properly brought before the Court for hearing; (3) denies preclusion/proportionate sanctions for lack of supporting evidence; (4) declares cancellation of the Rule-to-Show-Cause hearing moot because the hearing was not held "due to other circumstances," while stating it may be reset at any party's request; and (5) denies judicial notice for lack of a specific identified fact/event. Structurally, this is important when read against the docket's repeated hearing/preservation/provenance requests.

08/24/2026 | #140 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Renewed August 10 Motion to Disqualify marked moot following the Chief Justice's August 20 Administrative Order.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Closes the second local Rule 17 motion following the Chief Justice's determination.

08/25/2026 | #144 — full order supplied

FILING / EVENT Order Establishing Future Procedures for Motions to Exceed Filing Page Limitations.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE The order confirms that on August 5 the Circuit Clerk's office called chambers for guidance "on whether to accept the voluminous filing or not"; Judge Poling instructed the Clerk to accept it pending later order. Prospectively, any future pleading—including attachments—exceeding page limits "shall not be accepted" without written judicial authorization. Plaintiff must first move for leave, schedule a hearing, give the proposed documents to the opposing side, allow ten days for agreement/objection, present the documents to the Court at the hearing, and obtain an order before the Clerk acts. This is a case-specific judicial pre-acceptance screening procedure, not a total filing prohibition.

08/25/2026 | #145 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT July 13 Motion/Petition for Writ of Mandamus marked moot.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Closes the local docket entry for that extraordinary-relief request immediately after the August 24–25 orders.

09/02/2026 | #147 — C-328 docket; underlying order needed for reasoning

FILING / EVENT Order denying Plaintiff's Motion to Withdraw in Part, Correct, Clarify and Supersede Certain Language contained in the July 24, 2026 Title II notice.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Disposed of Plaintiff's attempt to correct/clarify the formal disability-access notice. The docket title supports only the denial; the full order is needed before stating the Court's reasoning or the effect on the remaining Title II/§ 504 notice.

09/03/2026 | #149 — C-328 docket; underlying order needed for reasoning

FILING / EVENT Order granting in part Plaintiff's motion to take judicial notice but denying Plaintiff's request for specific application of that notice.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Confirms that Hopkins v. Sutphin was at least judicially noticed to some extent, while the Court declined the requested application. Full order needed to state exactly what was noticed and why specific application was denied.

09/03/2026 | #150 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT August 5 oversized-consolidated-reply motion (#126) marked "Disposed By Separate Order."

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE The August 25 page-limit order had temporarily granted the current filing request in part and promised a later order on substance. The separate order that generated #150 should be supplied to determine the ultimate disposition and avoid conflating it with the prospective page-limit procedure.

09/03/2026 | #151–152 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT Plaintiff's First Motion to Supplement the Record (all parts) marked moot.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Closes the June 24 record-supplementation attempt without, from the docket title alone, showing what event made the requested supplementation moot.

09/04/2026 | #154 — C-328 docket; full order needed

FILING / EVENT Order denying Plaintiff's Second Motion to Supplement the Record and clarifying the Court's prior order on supplementation.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Directly affects what material could become part of the C-328 record. The full order is important because the nature of the "clarification" may materially alter the record-integrity chronology.

09/04/2026 | #155 — C-328 docket

FILING / EVENT November 3, 2025 motion concerning effect of declaratory judgment, judicial estoppel, vacatur of DVPO, and sanctions/fees marked "Disposed By Separate Order."

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Closes a motion pending approximately 305 calendar days. The separate disposition order must be provided before the matrix states whether relief was granted, denied, or otherwise resolved.

09/05/2026 | Supplemental record status statement

FILING / EVENT Supplemental Poling record states that C-328 still had no Rule 26(f) scheduling conference as of September 5, 2026.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Current-status indicator showing that extensive motion practice, two Rule 17 proceedings, and filing/record disputes occurred without the scheduling milestone identified in the supplemental record.

09/08/2026 | 18-page C-328 docket print

FILING / EVENT Docket print reflects C-328 as open and shows entries through #156, including the September 4 supplementation and declaratory-judgment disposition events.

SIGNIFICANCE / RECORD NOTE Cutoff for this revised matrix. Later events should be appended after this date rather than back-filled without a new docket check.

Docket reconciliation corrections that should be made before filing the prior matrix

- **#28/#29:** The prior matrix split the October 9 opposition/Rule 15(d) notice/affidavit across #28 and #29. The docket groups those materials under #28; #29 is the separate Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Pleading.
- **#31:** The separately docketed affidavit concerning emotional distress/need for protection is October 14, 2025 #31.
- **#50/#51/#52:** The November 3 judicial-estoppel/vacatur motion is #52. #50 is the October 31 consolidated reply; #51 is the November 3 notice/supplemental memorandum.
- **#58:** Entry #58 is dated November 4, 2025, not November 10.
- **#80/#81:** The fraud-upon-the-court order is #80. The alleged Atterson-evidence mischaracterization belongs to the content of that order, not a separate #81 event; #81–82 are mailing/transmittal entries.
- **#86/#11:** The April 10 #86 order should not be described as disposing of the September 16 #11 amendment motion. #11 is expressly marked disposed by the August 24 #136/#138 amendment order.
- **#102/#104:** #102 is the motion for leave to submit confidential transcript-related evidence under seal; #104 is the public notice of the conditionally tendered sealed evidentiary submission.
- **#112:** C-328 #112 is the July 8 mailing/transmittal of #111, not a July 10 declaratory-judgment/judicial-estoppel merits order.
- **#113/#114/#115:** #113 is Defendant’s July 9 reply; Plaintiff’s July 13 constitutional-preservation notice is #114; the July 13 mandamus petition is #115.
- **Amendment timing:** Using the supplied docket/order, #11 remained pending about 342 days (09/16/25–08/24/26), #22 about 322 days, and #29 about 319 days. These replace the prior 181–206 day amendment figures that were based on the mistaken April 10 linkage.

Documents still needed to lock the chronology to the underlying orders / source exhibits

1. The full separate order disposing of the May 8, 2026 #93 Rule-to-Show-Cause/evidentiary-hearing motion, reflected at #134 on August 24.
2. The April 10, 2026 #86 order, so the exact underlying supplemental-complaint motion and reasoning can be identified without inference.
3. The exact April 10, 2026 C-328 order described in the supplemental record as prohibiting multi-case filings / directing the Clerk not to accept combined captions, if that is a separate order from #86.

4. The September 3 separate order disposing of the August 5 oversized consolidated reply (#150), if distinct from the August 25 prospective page-limit order.
5. The September 4 separate order disposing of the November 3 declaratory-judgment/judicial-estoppel/vacatur motion (#155).
6. Full copies of the September 2 #147, September 3 #149, and September 4 #154 orders if their reasoning is to be summarized rather than merely their docket titles.
7. The April 21, 2026 first Rule 17 response/transmittal and any filing/service proof, because the chronology specifically disputes its contemporaneous availability.
8. The September 11, 2025 Frost/chambers recording/transcript and the December in-person Frost declaration/record. The current source says “on or about December 12,” while other drafting history may identify December 15; the exact date should be resolved before filing.
9. The underlying July 10, 2026 order referenced by Plaintiff’s July 17 memorandum, with its correct case number and docket number. The supplied C-328 docket proves that #112 is not that order.

Condensed structural synthesis

The strongest chronology is not that every adverse ruling was erroneous. It is the interaction of the procedural steps: a merits action was followed by amendment/joinder efforts, an increasingly adversarial indigency and access dispute, requests for protective and evidentiary mechanisms, a continued hearing, a court-ordered reconstruction process, sealed-evidence and fraud-upon-the-court submissions, two Rule 17 proceedings, a prefiling-injunction request, disputes over which reconstructed pleading was operative, and finally case-specific procedures requiring advance judicial authorization before oversized filings and their attachments could be accepted by the Clerk. The later September orders then continued the dispute over correction of the Title II notice, judicial notice, and supplementation of the record.

The most objectively testable recursive sequence is: **Court identifies filing/citation deficiencies → Court orders reconstruction → Plaintiff submits reconstructed materials → later amendment opposition relies on an earlier pre-reconstruction pleading → August 24 amendment order describes the proposed pleading in terms facially disputed by the reconstructed papers → separate fact-development/protective relief is denied in part because matters were not properly before the Court through an evidentiary hearing → August 25 order creates a prospective pre-acceptance procedure for oversized filings and attachments → September orders continue to determine what corrective and supplemental material may enter or alter the record.**

That framing preserves the record question without requiring an inference of subjective bad faith: **what was filed, what version was treated as operative, what factual-development mechanism was available, what the orders said had been presented, and what materials were ultimately permitted into the reviewable record.**