/s/ Darl W. Poling
Circuit Court Judge
Ref. Code: 261Q21K9X

E-FILED | 8/24/2026 3:29 PM
CC-41-2025-C-328
Raleigh County Circuit Clerk
Angelia Price

In the Circuit Court of Raleigh County, West Virginia

Christopher A. Jackson,
Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. CC-41-2025-C-328
Judge Darl Poling

Alan D. Abrams,
Defendant

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY OMNIBUS MOTION FILED ON 10/28/2025

Pending before the Court is *Plaintiff's Emergency Omnibus Motion for: (1) Leave to File Renewed Disqualification Motion Out of Time; (2) Protective and Sequestration Orders; (3) Preclusion and Proportionate Sanctions; and (5) Judicial Notice; With Consolidated Memorandum of Law* which was filed on October 28, 2025. The Defendant file a Response on October 28, 2025, which disputes the allegations of wrongdoing and opposing the disqualification of defense counsel.

The Court having reviewed the current motion has determined that much of the requested relief has been ruled upon by separate Orders which have been entered at different times, and which may have included other matters which were joined in other pleadings. Therefore, to ensure that the matter has been clearly adjudicated, the Court will enter this separate Order in connection with this multi-part motion.

As for the Plaintiff's request for Leave to File Renewed Disqualification Motion Out of Time, the Court first notes that the Plaintiff has once again filed a motion seeking permission to do something but failed to wait for a hearing or a ruling on the request by going ahead and filing the document or documents for which permission has not been granted. Therefore, the Court makes the following ruling:

1. The Plaintiff has failed to obtain permission from the Court to file the pleadings as requested.
2. While some of the relief sought by the Plaintiff may not require leave from the Court before filing, the Plaintiff's inclusion of said pleadings in his request for permission is confusing and may have resulted in the lack of action by opposing counsel based upon the belief that a hearing would be scheduled on the request for permission before a response must be filed.
3. The failure of the Plaintiff to follow proper procedure and to include non-related subjects in the same pleading may have resulted in confusion on how to proceed procedurally.
4. The Plaintiff has combined a request for possible reconsideration with motions which are being presented for the first time.
5. As part of his motion, the Plaintiff is seeking a ruling granting "preclusion and sequestration" on matters which have not been properly brought before the Court for review and consideration as part of an evidentiary hearing.

Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration is **DENIED** and his request for reconsideration of the disqualification of defense counsel is **DENIED.**
2. The request for a Protective and Sequestration Order has not been properly brought before the Court for hearing and is therefore **DENIED.**
3. The Plaintiff's request for Preclusion and Proportionate Sanctions is **DENIED** based upon a lack or supporting evidence.

4. The Plaintiff's request for cancellation of the Rule to Show Cause hearing is **MOOT** since the hearing was not held due to other circumstances. However, said hearing may be reset at the request of any party.

5. The request to take Judicial Notice does not set forth a specific request for judicial notice of any particular fact, event, etc. and therefore is **DENIED.**

6. The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to all counsel of record via e-filing and to the Plaintiff by U.S. Mail to his last know address.

ORDER:

Entered this the 24th day of August, 2026.

/s/ Darl W. Poling
Circuit Court Judge
14th Judicial Circuit

Note: The electronic signature on this order can be verified using the reference code that appears in the upper-left corner of the first page. Visit www.courtswv.gov/e-file/ for more details.